Modelling, Design & Analysis of Bidirectional Interleaved DC to DC Converter

Jaya Parmar, M. P. S. Chawla



Abstract: In today's scenario, global warming is a major issue for the environment. To fight this issue, the shift from nonrenewable sources to renewable sources and the use of electric vehicles are increasing day by day. The bidirectional converters are the crucial device to run such renewable plants and electrical cars, where bidirectional power exchange is needed. To make a efficient and reliable system, more advanced interleaved/multiphasing technologies are required.

A new interleaving bidirectional DC-to-DC converter is proposed in this paper to minimize the input current ripples and increase power handling capacity. This paper mainly describes the analysis of interleaved bidirectional converters in steady-state and two- and three-phase interleaved converter closed-loop simulations in both modes. The results show the interleaving of inductor-currents and stiff output voltage along with the bidirectional power flow capability, and the waveforms of interleaved inductor current, input current ripples, and output voltage are presented.

Keywords: Global Warming, Renewable Energy, Electric Vehicle, Bidirectional Converters, Interleaved Topology, Power Handling Capacity, Current Ripples

I. INTRODUCTION

T he global push for sustainable energy solutions, driven by depleting fossil fuel reserves and environmental concerns, has spurred advancements in power electronics [1]. Key among these innovations are bidirectional DC-DC converters, originally designed for motor drives to control speed and braking [2]. Today, their application spans crucial sectors like DC drives, microgrids, renewable energy storage, and hybrid electric vehicles, being essential in managing power flow and stabilizing voltage under high-power situations [3]. However, these converters are facing some challenges in high-power applications, such as due to large current flowing in the system, the size of the inductor increases, so the converter size increases. Also, due to the switching phenomenon, fluctuations are generated in the input current, so to overcome these issues, the interleaving topology in the converter is introduced. This topology involves multiple phases that are connected in parallel with each other to share the power load [1].

Manuscript received on 18 October 2024 | First Revised Manuscript received on 23 October 2024 | Second Revised Manuscript received on 16 November 2024 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 January 2025 | Manuscript published on 30 January 2025.

*Correspondence Author(s)

Java Parmar*, Department of Electrical Engineering, SGSITS, Indore (Madhya Pradesh), India. Email ID: pjaya5666@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0005-3952-2556

Prof. M. P. S. Chawla, Department of Electrical Engineering, SGSITS, Indore (Madhya Pradesh), India. Email ID: mpschawla@gmail.com

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

This topology lowers the current ripples, decreases the overall size of the converter by reducing inductor bulk [2], reduces the stress on switches, and enhances the performance of the converter by making it more efficient & reliable [3].

In an inductor-based converter, during the switching cycle, the explanation of how current is flowing from the inductor of the converter is known as the current-conduction mode of the converter. Figure-1 illustrates the current conduction mode waveform for this type of converter. Each current conduction mode has different specialties for different needs, stability, & balancing efficiency across different loads as per the requirements. Here, CCM is a continuous-conduction mode, where the inductor-current is flowing continuously as the inductor conducts continuously. This mode is preferred for high-power applications because the current doesn't fall to zero during the switching cycle. DCM is a discontinuousconduction mode of converter where the inductor current continuously increases until the turn-on condition and starts decreasing at t1 during the turn-off period, and remains at zero until t2 or at the end of the interval. It is preferred for low-power applications because in high-power it increases the ripples in current. CRM is a critical conduction mode; in this mode, the inductor current starts decreasing until it becomes zero, and then again it starts rising. This mode reduces switching losses and is preferred for low-power applications.



[Fig.1: Interleaved Inductor Current Conduction Mode CCM, DCM and CRM [1]

This paper explores the fundamental principles & performances of bidirectional DC to DC converters with simulation in closed-loop of two-phase and three-phase interleaving configurations MATLAB/SIMULINK.

By studying simulation approaches and their impact on converter design, the aim is

and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

© Copyright: All rights reserved.

Published By:

in boost mode by

Stology and Engin Recent) And the second s Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering

1

to enhance efficiency and reliability in modern power management applications.

II. BIDIRECTIONAL DC-DC INTERLEAVED CONVERTER WITH N PHASE

The N power modules in a Bidirectional DC-DC interleaved converter with N phases are linked in parallel, and gate-signals of them are phase shifted by, $\frac{360^{\circ}}{N}$ so that their

phases operate out of phase with one another. This arrangement divides the input current across parallel paths. Due to the phase shift, the current ripples from each phase can cancel each other, leading to a significant reduction in overall ripple [1]. The phase interleaving technique ensures smoother current delivery to the load, reducing ripple and enhancing efficiency, improving the converter's performance and stability [2].

This converter is operating mainly in two modes:

- 1. Boost-Mode: In order to satisfy the Dc-bus voltage specifications, the converter raises the input voltage. The DC bus receives electricity from the battery when it discharges, and the power-modules each receive an equal amount of current [4]. The total current flowing through all of the inductors in a battery is its total current. For an N-phase system, the battery current is the combined total of the currents through all the inductors. This operational mode is essential in electric vehicle (EV) applications [8] and is known as the driving mode.
- **2. Buck-Mode:** Allowing the battery to charge, which is the reverse of the boost mode and transferring power from the Dc-bus to the battery occurs in this mode [4]. Additionally, there is an equal distribution of negative Dc-bus current (ib) among all phases. In an N-phase converter, this mode is commonly referred to as regerative mode [8] in electric vehicle (EV) applications.

For the N-phase converter, the mathematical way to determine phase shift, overall inductor current and total no. of phase are given below,

(a) The phase shift that is imposed between the converter's successive legs is determined by: [3]

$$\theta = \frac{360^{\circ}}{N} \dots \quad (1)$$

(b) The combined current of the inductor is shown as: [3]

$$I_b = \frac{P}{V_s} \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

Where P signifies the converter's power level, and the battery voltage is Vs.

(c) Total no. of phases is calculated by: [3]

$$N = \frac{I_b}{I_{Lmax}} \dots (3)$$

Where, ILmax is the inductor currents per phase maximum value available commercially according to specifications.

The schematic depicted in Figure-2 is of a Bidirectional interleaved DC to DC converter with N parallel-connected modules. Figure-3, highlights the corresponding inductor current waveform and the gate signals for the switches.



[Fig.2: N-Phase Interleaved Converter] [3]



[Fig.3: Gate Signals and Inductor Current Waveform for N-Phase Interleaved Converter] [3]

III. DESIGN OF FILTER PARAMETERS

The Bidirectional DC to DC interleaved converter operates in both boost and buck modes to manage voltage levels efficiently. In boost mode, it raises the battery voltage (Vs) from 24V to a DC bus voltage (Vdc) of 48V, while in buck mode, it lowers the DC bus voltage back to 24V to recharge the battery [4]. The load power level (Pdc) is considered as 1kW and switching frequency (f_{sw}) is considered as 20 kHz.

During boost mode the converter operates with a duty ratio of: [6]

$$D = \frac{V_{dc} - V_s}{V_{dc}}$$

= $\frac{48 - 24}{48} = 0.5$... (4)

Inductor value is obtained from, [2]

$$I_{Ltotal} = \frac{P_{dc}}{V_L}, where V_L = V_s = 24$$

= $\frac{1000}{24} = 41.66A$
 $i_{L1} = i_{L2} = i_L = \frac{i_{Ltotal}}{2} = 20.83A$
 $\triangle i_L = 20\% of i_L = 4.166A$

$$L = \frac{1}{\Delta i_L \cdot f_{SW}} \dots (5)$$
$$= \frac{24 \times 0.5}{4.166 \times 20 \times 10^3} = 0.15 mH$$

DC link capacitor is obtained from, [7]

=



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



$$C_{dc} = \frac{D.V_{dc}}{R_{dc} \triangle V_{dc} \cdot f_{sw}}$$

where, $R_{dc} = \frac{V_{dc}^2}{P_{dc}} = \frac{48^2}{1000} = 2.304\Omega$
 $\triangle V_{dc} = 2\% of V_{dc} \qquad \dots \quad (6)$
 $= 0.02 \times 48 = 0.96$
 $C_{dc} = \frac{0.5 \times 48}{2.304 \times 0.96 \times 20 \times 10^3}$
 $= 0.54 \mu F$

During buck mode the converter operates with duty ratio of: [5]

$$D = \frac{V_s}{V_{dc}} = \frac{24}{48} = 0.5 \quad \dots \quad (7)$$

To ensure the converter functions correctly, essential parameters like the duty ratio, inductor value, and DC link capacitor value are meticulously calculated. These parameters are crucial for maintaining stable and efficient performance in both operational modes, allowing the converter to adapt to different power requirements seamlessly.

IV. SIMULATION AND RESULS

The closed loop simulations are performed to analyse both two and three-phase versions of the converter in charging and discharging modes using MATLAB/SIMULINK.

When in the boost (discharging) mode, the voltage on the high-voltage Dc-bus is raised to 48V by a 24V, 42Ah battery on the low-voltage side. In order to activate the buck (charging) mode, disconnect the HV side load and attach a 48V, 42Ah battery to the DC bus.

This configuration ensures the interleaved converter can efficiently handle both voltage increase and decrease. The simulation demonstrates the converter's capability for bidirectional power flow, underscoring its flexibility and robust performance in varied operating conditions. The Bidirectional DC to DC interleaved converter is intended to support a 1 kW load power level and operates at a 20 kHz switching frequency, as outlined in Table 1.

 Table 1: Simulation Parameters for Interleaved

 Converters

Parameters	Specifications
Rated Power	1 kW
Switching Frequency	20Hz
Input Inductance	0.5 mH
Output Capacitance	1.2 μf
Battery Voltage	24 V/ 48 V, 42 Ah
Output Voltage	48 V
Load Resistance	4.4 Ω
Inner Current Loop Controller Gains (Kp, Ki)	0.058, 1
Outer Voltage Loop Controller Gains (Kp, Ki)	2,40

A. Simulation and Results of Interleaved Converter for Two-Phase



[Fig.4: Schematic Diagram of Control of Two-Phase Interleaved Converter] [9]

The simulation model of dc to dc interleaved converter for two-phase in closed-loop [9] is shown in figure 5,



[Fig.5: Simulation Model of Two-Phase Interleaved Converter in Closed-Loop]

Figure-5, shows a two-phase Bidirectional interleaved converter with a phase shift of 180-degree. To ensure a consistent output voltage (V_{dc}) and accurate interleaving of inductor currents (I_{L1} and I_{L2}), a bidirectional DC-DC converter employs a control approach consisting of a voltage control loop outside and two inside current control loops.

The controller first determines the error voltage (Δv), which is the difference between the actual and desired output voltages. A safe battery reference current i^{ref}_b is obtained by processing the error voltage (Δv) using a PI controller.

For safety reasons, the battery reference current undergoes a rate-limiting process to prevent excessive discharge. This generated current is divided and compared to the actual inductor currents ($I_{L1} \& I_{L2}$). The controller then divides this reference current equally and compares it separately with the currents flowing through each inductor ($I_{L1} \& I_{L2}$). These comparisons produce error currents (Δ i) for each inductor, which are individually processed by separate PI controllers to determine the duty cycles (d1, d2). Subsequently, the duty cycles d1 & d2 are fed into a PWM generator, which generates gate signals for the switches.

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.D817313041124 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijrte.D8173.13050125</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijrte.org</u>

i. Simulation Results of Interleaved Converter for Two-Phase

For a two-phase interleaved converter with load variation in boost mode, the simulation

results are depicted here. In the simulation, the system initially operates with a 1 kW load. At t = 1 second, the load is decreased to 50% of its initial value, and then at t = 2 second, the load returns back to its 1 kW rated rating.

Figures-6 and 7 illustrate the waveforms of battery current, battery reference current, each phase current of an inductor, and input inductor current ripples.



[Fig.7: Ripple Currents IL1 and IL2]

From Figures-6 and 7, it is evident that the current of the battery (ib) closely follows the battery reference current. Moreover, the ripple in the inductor current is evenly distributed between the two phases, with each phase being shifted by 180 degrees.

The voltage controller is intended to preserve a consistent DC-bus voltage of 48V, which is clearly demonstrated in Figure-8. In this figure, you can also observe how the current fluctuates in response to changes in the load, indicating that the controller is effectively managing these variations to keep the voltage constant. The dc bus voltage, reference voltage and load current waveforms,



[Fig.8: Vdc, Vdc^{ref}, and Io]

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.D817313041124 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijrte.D8173.13050125</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijrte.org</u>





Figure-9, illustrates the battery's %SOC (state of charge), showing a Down ward trend. This decline in %SOC indicates that the battery is discharging, which is expected during the boost-mode operation where energy is being supplied to the load. For the next part of the simulation, which involves the buck (charging) mode, the procedure changes.

The load connected to the high voltage (HV) side is removed, ensuring that there is no load to influence the voltage on that side. Subsequently, a battery with a voltage rating of 48V and a capacity of 42Ah is linked to the DC bus. This configuration permits the system to transition into charging mode, where the battery at the DC bus receives energy, effectively simulating the buck mode operation. This change in configuration helps in analyzing the system's performance under different operational modes—boost (discharging) and buck (charging).

B. Simulation and Results of Interleaved Converter for Three Phase



[Fig.10: Schematic Diagram of Control of Interleaved Converter for Three-Phase] [10]

The closed loop simulation model of interleaved converter for three-phase [10] performed in MATLAB/SIMULINK is shown here,

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



4





[Fig.11: Closed Loop Simulation Model of Interleaved Converter for Three-Phase]

This Figure shows an interleaved bidirectional converter for three phases with 120-degree phase shift [11]. To ensure a consistent output voltage (V_{dc}) and accurate interleaving of inductor currents (I_{L1} , $I_{L2} & I_{L3}$) in this converter, a control scheme comprising an outer voltage control loop and three inner current-control loops is employed in a bidirectional DC to DC converter [12]. The variations between the intended and real output voltage are represented by the voltage error (Δv), which is first calculated by the controller. After passing via a PI controller, the voltage error (Δv) is processed to provide i^{ref}_b, a safe battery reference current [13].

For safety reasons, the battery reference current undergoes a rate limiting process to prevent excessive discharge. The controller then divides this reference current equally and compares it separately with the currents flowing through each inductor [14].

These comparisons produce error currents (Δ i) for each inductor, which are individually processed by separate PI controllers to determine the duty cycles (d1, d2 & d3) [15]. Subsequently, the duty cycles, are fed into a PWM generator, which generates gate signals for the switches.

i. Simulation Results of Interleaved Converter for Three Phase

For a three-phase interleaved converter with load variation in boost mode, the simulation results are depicted here.

In the simulation, the system initially operates with a 1 kW load., The load at t = 1 second is decreased to 50% of its initial value, and then at t = 2 second, the load returns back to its 1 kW rated value. The current of the battery (ib), battery reference current, inductor current of each phase, and inductor input current ripples waveforms are shown in Figure-12 and 13,





Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.D817313041124 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijrte.D8173.13050125</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijrte.org</u>



[Fig.13: Ripple Current IL1, IL2, IL3]

From the Figures-12 and 13, it is evident that the current of the battery (ib) closely follows the battery reference current. Moreover, the ripple in the inductor current is evenly distributed between the two phases, with each phase being shifted by 180 degrees. The dc bus voltage, reference voltage, and load current waveforms, are shown in Figure 14.



Figure-15, illustrates the battery SOC, so Downward trend. This decline in %SOC indicates that the battery is discharging, which

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

5

is expected during the boost-mode operation where energy is being supplied to the load. For the next part of the simulation, which involves the buck (charging) mode, the procedure changes. The load connected to the high voltage (HV) side is removed, ensuring that there is no load to influence the voltage on that side. Subsequently, a battery with a voltage rating of 48V and a capacity of 42Ah is introduced to the DC bus. This setup permits the system to transition into charging mode, where the battery at the DC bus receives energy, effectively simulating the buck mode operation.

V. CONCLUSION

In this Research, the Bidirectional interleaved DC to DC converter's control & simulation are presented. Both the charging and discharging modes are used in the controller design and BDC simulation. The converter has the ability to control both the interleaving of inductor current and a steady output voltage. The converter can have bidirectional power flow based on both boost and buck mode of operation. The simulation's steady-state and transient findings under load fluctuation are deemed good. A comparative study of three-phase and two-phase interleaved converters is also conducted. A three-phase converter's input current and output voltage ripple are lower than those of a two-phase converter because the thermal distribution is correctly maintained. Additionally, a three-phase interleaved converter has reduced current stress across its switches.

VI. ACKNOWLEGMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. M.P.S. Chawla, for their invaluable guidance & support. Special thanks to Asst. Prof. Khushboo Nagar for their assistance, and to the management of SGSITS, Indore (M.P.) for providing essential resources. I also deeply appreciate my family & friends for their unwavering encouragement throughout this journey.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

After aggregating input from all authors, I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests: Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- Funding Support: This article has not been sponsored or funded by any organization or agency. The independence of this research is a crucial factor in affirming its impartiality, as it has been conducted without any external sway.
- Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate: The data provided in this article is exempt from the requirement for ethical approval or participant consent.
- Data Access Statement and Material Availability: The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- Authors Contributions: The authorship of this article is contributed equally to all participating individuals.

REFERENCES

 M. N. Syah, E. Firmansyah and D. R. Utomo, "Interleaved Bidirectional DC-DC Converter Operation Strategies and Problem Challenges: An Overview," 2022 IEEE International Conference in Power Engineering Application (ICPEA), Shah Alam, Malaysia, 2022, pp. 1-6, doi. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPEA53519.2022.9744683

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.D817313041124 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijrte.D8173.13050125</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijrte.org</u>

- De Melo, R. R., Tofoli, F. L., Daher, S., & Antunes, F. L. M. (2020). Interleaved bidirectional DC–DC converter for electric vehicle applications based on multiple energy storage devices. Electrical Engineering. doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00202-020-01009-3</u>
- C. Gupta and M. Das, "Multiphase Interleaved DC-DC Converter for Fast Charging Application of Electric Vehicles," 2022 IEEE 16th International Conference on Compatibility, Power Electronics, and Power Engineering (CPE-POWERENG), Birmingham, United Kingdom, 2022, pp. 1-6, doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/CPE-POWERENG54966.2022.9880893</u>
- Kumar Bhajana, V. V. S., Drabek, P., & Aylapogu, P. K. (2017). A novel ZVS non-isolated bidirectional DC-DC converter for energy storage systems. IECON 2017 - 43rd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society. doi. https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2017.8216115
 S. Ramya, S. Divya and C. Das, "Multiphase Bidirectional DC-DC
- S. Ramya, S. Divya and C. Das, "Multiphase Bidirectional DC-DC Converter with Phase shedding for BLDC Driven Electric Vehicle," 2021 IEEE International Power and Renewable Energy Conference (IPRECON), Kollam, India, 2021, pp. 1-6, doi. https://doi.org/10.1109/IPRECON52453.2021.9640997
- Y. Guttula and S. Samanta, "Modeling and Control Implementation of Interleaved Coupled and Uncoupled Boost Converter for EV Drive Applications," 2021 IEEE 18th India Council International Conference (INDICON), Guwahati, India, 2021, pp. 1-6, doi. https://doi.org/10.1109/INDICON52576.2021.9691491
- K. Tytelmaier, O. Husev, O. Veligorskyi, M. Khomenko and O. Khomenko, "Controller design for interleaved bidirectinal DC-DC converter with coupled inductors," 2017 IEEE First Ukraine Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (UKRCON), Kyiv, UKraine, 2017, pp. 570-573, doi. https://doi.org/10.1109/UKRCON.2017.8100306
- A. M. Omara and M. Sleptsov, "Bidirectional interleaved DC/DC converter for electric vehicle application," 2016 11th International Forum on Strategic Technology (IFOST), Novosibirsk, Russia, 2016, pp. 100-104, doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/IFOST.2016.7884201</u>
- Lai, C. M., Lin, Y. C., & Lee, D. (2015). Study and implementation of a two-phase interleaved bidirectional DC/DC converter for vehicle and DC-microgrid systems. Energies, 8(9), 9969-9991. doi. https://doi.org/10.3390/en8099969
- R. Mayer, M. Berrehil El Kattel, M. D. Possamai, C. Bruning and S. V. Garcia Oliveira, "Analysis of a multi-phase interleaved bidirectional DC/DC power converter with coupled inductor," 2017 Brazilian Power Electronics Conference (COBEP), Juiz de Fora, Brazil, 2017, pp. 1-6, doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/COBEP.2017.8257228</u>
- Koli, H., & Chawla, Prof. M. P. S. (2022). Comparative Study of Electric Vehicle Battery Systems with Lithium-Ion and Solid State Batteries. In International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering (Vol. 10, Issue 10, pp. 1–6). doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijese.i2540.09101022</u>
- Warbhe, Mrs. R., Patil, Mr. G., Mishra, Mrs. E., & Joshi, Mrs. H. (2020). Electric Vehicles Charging Display Unit. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 3, pp. 3041–3044). doi. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.c8081.019320
- Dhrawadkar, S., Dani, U. H., Harmalkar, S., & Joshi, A. (2020). Design and Simulation of Electric Vehicle. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 9, Issue 4, pp. 131–133). doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.c4303.119420</u>
- Khan, N., & Singh, Dr. B. (2019). Design, Simulation and Performance Analysis of a Battery Electric Vehicle Model. In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 9, Issue 1, pp. 3115–3118). doi. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.e7482.109119</u>
- Singh, H. P. (2022). Scope of Solar Energy and Wind Energy in District Raebareli (Uttar Pradesh). In Indian Journal of Energy and Energy Resources (Vol. 1, Issue 3, pp. 7–8). doi. https://doi.org/10.54105/ijeer.c1004.051322

AUTHORS PROFILE



Jaya Parmar, holds a Bachelor of Engineering (B.E.) in Electrical and Electronics Engineering from Lakshmi Narain College of Technology (LNCT), Indore 453331 in 2018. Currently pursuing her Master of Engineering (M.E.) in Power System and Control Engineering at Shri Govindram Seksariya College of Technology and Science

(SGSITS), Indore 452003, Jaya's academic focus is on power system optimization, control strategies, and sustainable energy solutions. With a deep interest in power electronics, grid stability, and smart energy systems,

her research aims to address critical challenges in modern power networks. As a fresher, Jaya is committed to contributing innovative research that

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



6



drives advancements in the electrical engineering domain.



Prof. M. P. S. Chawla, Professor- Ex-Incharge (head), library, Associate Professor in Electrical Engineering Department, SGSITS, Indore-452003 (M.P.)-India, Treasurer IEEE MP Section since, Jan 2022, immediate past chairman, 2017-2018, IEEE M.P. subsection. He received gold medals in B.E. (Electrical) and M.E. (Power

Electronics) degree SGSITS, Indore, India from Electrical Engineering Department in 1988 and 1992, respectively. He is appointed as "Associated Editor in Chief Chair", on 14th December 2018 in Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publications, India. His special research interests are in power electronics, devices, intelligence instrumentation, biomedical engineering, signal processing, advanced instrumentation systems, soft computing, higher-order statistical techniques, and control systems.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.



7

Published By:

© Copyright: All rights reserved.